UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/573,360 | 12/08/2006 | Emilie Bouchez | 15675P599 | 2723 |
| | 7590 11/05/200 ff Taylor Zafman | EXAMINER | | |
| 12400 Wilshire | | PEDDER, DENNIS H | | |
| 7th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90025 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3612 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 11/05/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|----------------|--|
| 10/573,360 | BOUCHEZ ET AL. | |
| | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |

| | Dennis H. Pedder | 3612 | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 27 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | THE REPLY FILED <u>27 October 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejection | n. | | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL |). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi | 36(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final Office | e extension fee ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in completing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the notice of Appeal has been filed. | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better (see Note application). | nsideration and/or search (see NO¯ w); | ΓE below); | | | | | | | |
| appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1. | corresponding number of finally reje | | | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment (l | PTOL-324). | | | | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | · | • | - | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 5. Claim(s) rejected: 1-4 and 7-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | I be entered and an e: | xplanation of | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a | | | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other: | | | | | | | | | |
| | /Dennis H. Pedder/ Primary Examiner, Art U | Init 3612 | | | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: incorporation of claim 5 without intervening claim limitations and new dependencies.